

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,660	09/08/2003	Klaus-Hinrich Borchers	4568	1986
21553 75	590 01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
FASSE PATENT ATTORNEYS, P.A.			LE, TAN	
P.O. BOX 726 HAMPDEN, ME 04444-0726			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
		DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Summary	10/658,660	BORCHERS, KLAUS-HINRICH		
Off		Examiner	Art Unit		
V		Tan Le	3632		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
2a) ☐ This a∈ 3) ☐ Since	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim(6) ☑ Claim(7) ☐ Claim((s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. the above claim(s) 3 and 8-19 is/are with (s) is/are allowed. (s) 1,2,4-7,20 and 21 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Par	pers		•		
10)☐ The dra Applica Replace	ecification is objected to by the Examiner awing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept and may not request that any objection to the dement drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119				
a)⊠ AII 1.⊠ 0 2.□ 0 3.□ 0	viedgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the first office action for application serial number 10/10/658,660. This application contains 21 claims numbered 1-21.

2. Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Figs. 2-3, claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 20-21 in the reply filed on 10/28/04 is acknowledged.

Currently claims 1-2, 4-7 and 20-21 are readable to the elected species. An examination as follows:

Claims 3 and 8-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

- 3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file. Note that the Priority of an earlier application: No. 102 41 573.0, filed on September 7, 2002 in Federal Republic of Germany is hereby expressly claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119. The filing of this Application on Monday, September 8, 2003 is timely since September 7, 2003 was on a Sunday.
- Page 1, lines 5-8, incorporation by reference to a foreign application is improper.
 Page 8, line 5, "PFTE" must describe what it stands for.

Page 8-9, Applicant describes a plurality of guard hoses as "1, 2, 3... n). This should be changed to -- 2, 3...n -- because a plurality should be more than one.

Page 11, line 3, "Land L" should be changed to -- length L --.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,660 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 4, line 4, "a pair" propose to be replaced with – said one pair – to make the claim clearer.

In claim 1, the description of a "1, 2...n)" (for a plurality of guard hoses) and in claim 2, the description of "n" or "n-1" (for spacers), these descriptions should be taken out. Only "reference number" should be allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2, 4-7 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claims 6-7, each recites the limitation "the same length" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. Also the phrase "the same length" is unclear as to the same length of what that Applicant is referring to. Propose to change to -- a same length to each other --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent No. 4,601,447 to McFarland.

MacFarland discloses a conduit spacer anchoring system comprising a plurality of guard hoses (Fig. 3 for example), each guard hose (14) having an inner diameter, each guard hose of said plurality of guard hoses (in operational state) comprising an outwardly facing first contour (of the pipe), and at least one spacer (13) positioned between two neighboring guard hoses of the plurality of guard hoses, the at least one spacer having a second contour (22) matching said first contour of said guard hoses for spacing said plurality of guard hoses from one another.

Regarding claims 20-21, McFarland device in an operational mode or assembly mode can be broadly interpreted as one integral piece and the interconnection which holds the spacer to the holder can also broadly interpret as a tongue and groove connection (at 26, 28).

Claims 1-2, 4-7 and 20-21are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent No. 1,098,077 to Annison.

Annison discloses a locking bar and sheeting for construction work, comprising a plurality of sheeting and posts guards which can be used for guard hoses ©, each guard hose having an inner diameter, each guard hose of said plurality of guard hoses (in

Page 5

operational state) comprising an outwardly facing first contour, and at least one spacer (b) positioned between two neighboring guard hoses of said plurality of guard hoses, said at least one spacer having a second contour matching said first contour of said guard hoses for spacing said plurality of guard hoses from one another.

Regarding claim 2, Annison also discloses a number of guard hoses, and a number of spacers arranged between neighboring guard hoses, and wherein each of said spacers is positioned along a straight length of two neighboring guard hoses.

Regarding claim 4, least one spacer has top and bottom surfaces opposite each other and side surfaces opposite each other in first and second pairs, each side surface of said one pair of said side surfaces having said second contour matching said first contour of a respective guard hose.

Regarding claim 5, the first contour (of c) is convex and wherein said second contour (of spacer b) is concave so that the convex first contour partly encircles the convex second contour.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, the subject matter of claims 6-7 also readable over Annison as evidently shown on Fig. 1-4 or 8, which shows each of said side surfaces has a same length to each other in a pair and said top and bottom surfaces are substantially squares and the side surfaces are rectangles, wherein said side surfaces forming said first pair have the same length, and wherein said side surfaces forming said second pair have a length shorter than said same length, and wherein the second contours are provided in said squares.

Regarding claims 20-21, Annison device in an operational mode or assembly mode can broadly interpret as one integral piece and the interconnection, which holds

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

the spacer to the holder, can interpret as a tongue and groove connection.

US2004/0045618 to Borchers.

4,099,626 to Magnussen, Jr.

1,821,234 to Parker

3,523,667 to Guerrero

2,915,580 to Gill et al.

859,295 to T. Hill.

The above patents disclose various types of conduit spacer systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-8244. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri. from 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,660

Page 7

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan Le

Patent examiner
January 18, 2005.